Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

5-Chloro-6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethylchroman-2-one

Scott A. Cameron, Shailesh K. Goswami, Lyall R. Hanton, C. John McAdam, Stephen C. Moratti and Jim Simpson*

Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand Correspondence e-mail: jsimpson@alkali.otago.ac.nz

Received 15 July 2011; accepted 20 July 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 89 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.050; wR factor = 0.143; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.4.

In the title molecule, $C_{11}H_{11}ClO_3$, the fused pyran ring adopts a half-chair conformation. In the crystal, intermolecular O– $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds link molecules into chains along [100]. These chains are interconnected by weak intermolecular C– $H \cdots O$ contacts which generate $R_2^2(8)$ ring motifs, forming sheets parallel to (001). Tetragonal symmetry generates an equivalent motif along *b*. Furthermore, the sheets are linked along the *c* axis by offset π – π stacking interactions involving the benzene rings of adjacent molecules [with centroid– centroid distances of 3.839 (2) Å], together with an additional weak C– $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond, resulting in an overall threedimensional network.

Related literature

For the synthesis of the starting materials, see: Fieser & Ardao (1956); Bishop *et al.* (1963). For related structures, see: Budzianowski & Katrusiak (2002); Goswami *et al.* (2011). For standard bond lengths, see Allen *et al.* (1987). For hydrogenbond motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{11}H_{11}ClO_3\\ M_r = 226.65\\ Tetragonal, \ P\overline{4}2_1c\\ a = 16.1375 \ (6) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 7.5887 \ (6) \ \text{\AA}\\ V = 1976.24 \ (19) \ \text{\AA}^3 \end{array}$

Z = 8Mo K α radiation $\mu = 0.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 89 K $0.40 \times 0.07 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2009) $T_{min} = 0.792, T_{max} = 1.00$

Refinement

- - - -

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ $wR(F^2) = 0.143$ S = 1.072030 reflections 141 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement 22360 measured reflections 2030 independent reflections 1618 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.095$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{max} = 0.33 \mbox{ e } \mbox{\AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{min} = -0.39 \mbox{ e } \mbox{\AA}^{-3} \\ \mbox{Absolute structure: Flack (1983),} \\ 859 \mbox{ Friedel pairs} \\ \mbox{Flack parameter: } -0.04 \mbox{ (12)} \end{array}$

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°)

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C8-H8A\cdots O9^{i}$	0.99	2.55	3.463 (5)	154
$C7 - H7B \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{ii}$	0.99	2.64	3.588 (5)	160
$C7 - H7B \cdots O9^{ii}$	0.99	2.68	3.357 (5)	126
O4−H4O···O9 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.78 (4)	2.12 (5)	2.748 (4)	137 (4)
$C8 - H8B \cdots O4^{iv}$	0.99	2.39	3.328 (5)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, z; (ii) -y + 1, x, -z + 2; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iv) $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker 2009); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *TITAN2000* (Hunter & Simpson, 1999); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*, *enCIFer* (Allen *et al.*, 2004), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

We thank the New Economy Research Fund (grant No. UOO-X0808) for support of this work and the University of Otago for the purchase of the diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5289).

References

Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.

Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.

Bishop, C. A., Porter, R. F. & Tong, L. K. J. (1963). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3991–3998.

Bruker (2009). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Budzianowski, A. & Katrusiak, A. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 125-133.

Fieser, L. F. & Ardao, M. I. (1956). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 774-781.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Goswami, S. K., Hanton, L. R., McAdam, C. J., Moratti, S. C. & Simpson, J. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 01566–01567. Hunter, K. A. & Simpson, J. (1999). *TITAN2000*. University of Otago, New Zealand.

Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122. Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148–155. Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2141-o2142 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811029345]

5-Chloro-6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethylchroman-2-one

S. A. Cameron, S. K. Goswami, L. R. Hanton, C. J. McAdam, S. C. Moratti and J. Simpson

Comment

The title compound (I) was isolated as an intermediate during the synthesis of redox-active quinone monomers currently of interest to us in our electro-mechanical actuator programme.

Compound (I), Fig 1, consists of a chromanone unit with an OH substituent at C4, a chloro substituent at C5 and methyl substituents on C2 and C3. The fused C1/C6-C9/O1 ring is in a half-chair conformation. Bond distances (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are normal and similar to those in closely related structures (Budzianowski & Katrusiak, 2002; Goswami *et al.*, 2011).

Classical O4—H4O···O9ⁱⁱⁱ hydrogen bonds link molecules into chains along *a*. These chains are interconnected by weak C8—H8A···O9ⁱ contacts which generate $R^2_2(8)$ ring motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) forming sheets in (0 0 1), Fig 2. Tetragonal symmetry generates an equivalent motif along b. These sheets are stacked along *c* by offset π – π stacking interactions involving the benzene rings of adjacent molecules with centroid to centroid distances of 3.839 (2) Å together with an additional C8–H8B···O4^{iv} hydrogen bond, Fig 3, resulting in a three dimensional network structure, Fig 4.

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized in three steps. In the first step trimethyl-p-hydroquinone (Fieser & Ardao, 1956) (15.2 g, 100 mmol) was oxidized using sodium dichromate (10.8 g, 41 mmol) in acetic acid (50 ml). The product was characterized using NMR spectroscopy and the data were consistent with reported data of trimethyl-p-benzoquinone. The second step (chlorination) is an alternative to the existing literature (Bishop et al., 1963). Trimethyl-p-benzoquinone (10 g, 67 mmol) was added to conc. hydrochloric acid (100 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting suspension was heated to reflux for 3 hr. After dilution with water the solid was filtered out and re-dissolved in aqueous acetic acid. Aqueous sodium dichromate (10 g, 38 mmol) was added in portions. After the mixture had stood for 15 min, a yellow solid was precipitated by dilution with water. Crystallization from ethanol-water solution gave a yellow material, m.p. 337-338K; (lit. m.p. 337-338K). In the final step, a solution of methyl malonate (5.7 g, 43 mmol) in dry MeOH (25 ml) was refluxed for one hour with finely powdered MgOMe (3.85 g, 70 mmol). A solution of chlorotrimethyl-p-quinone (4 g, 21 mmol) in dry MeOH (25 ml) was added dropwise to the refluxing solution and reflux continued for 13 hr. The solid was removed from the cooled mixture, washed with ether and carefully mixed with HCl (10%, 50 ml) and stirred at 283K to remove impurities. The yellow solid product (3 g) was filtered out and dissolved in acetone and stirred with dil. hydrochloric acid (100 ml). The resulting white suspension was then refluxed for 5 hr. The solution was cooled and extracted with ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. To the crude residue in toluene (60 ml), 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid (0.47 g, 27 mmol) was added with stirring, and the mixture then refluxed. After 12 hr, the nearly colourless solution was cooled to room temp. and extracted with EtOAc (3×30 ml). The organic extract was washed with sat. aqueous NaHCO₃ and the aqueous layer back-extracted once with EtOAc (30 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. X-ray quality crystals of the title compound, 5-chloro-6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethylchroman-2-one were obtained from EtOAc/hexane (1.76 g, 80%): m.p. 139–41°C; FT—IR cm⁻¹ 1777 (O—C=O); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.74 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.5 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 12.0, 12.6, 22.0, 28.6,115.1, 117.8, 123.8, 125.0, 143.8, 145.9, 165.3.

Refinement

The OH hydrogen atom was located in a difference Fourier map and refined freely with $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (O). Methyl and methylene H-atoms were refined using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.98 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}$ (C) for methyl and 0.99 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (C) for methylene.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. The (0 0 1) layer of (I). Dashed lines show O–H…O hydrogen bonds and C–H…O interactions.



Fig. 3. The π .. π stacking interactions in the structure of (I) with C–H···O interactions drawn as dashed lines.



Fig. 4. Crystal packing of (I) viewed along the *c* axis showing the three- dimensional network.

5-Chloro-6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethylchroman-2-one

Crystal data

C ₁₁ H ₁₁ ClO ₃	$D_{\rm x} = 1.524 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 226.65$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Tetragonal, $P\overline{4}2_1c$	Cell parameters from 1966 reflections
Hall symbol: P -4 2n	$\theta = 2.5 - 20.6^{\circ}$
a = 16.1375 (6) Å	$\mu = 0.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 7.5887 (6) Å	T = 89 K
$V = 1976.24 (19) \text{ Å}^3$	Needle, colourless
Z = 8	$0.40 \times 0.07 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
F(000) = 944	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer	2030 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1618 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.095$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.9^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 2009)	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.792, T_{\max} = 1.00$	$k = -20 \longrightarrow 19$
22360 measured reflections	$l = -9 \rightarrow 8$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.143$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0781P)^2 + 0.6384P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.07	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2030 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.33 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
141 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 859 Friedel pairs
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Flack parameter: -0.04 (12)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations

between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
01	0.29181 (15)	0.46995 (15)	0.9081 (4)	0.0286 (6)
C1	0.2457 (2)	0.3970 (2)	0.8839 (5)	0.0246 (8)
C2	0.1624 (2)	0.4026 (2)	0.9338 (5)	0.0246 (8)
C21	0.1277 (2)	0.4829 (2)	1.0015 (6)	0.0295 (9)
H2A	0.1709	0.5132	1.0655	0.044*
H2B	0.0812	0.4715	1.0810	0.044*
H2C	0.1083	0.5165	0.9021	0.044*
C3	0.1126 (2)	0.3318 (2)	0.9168 (5)	0.0243 (8)
C31	0.02056 (19)	0.3310 (2)	0.9744 (4)	0.0166 (7)
H3A	-0.0132	0.3587	0.8847	0.025*
H3B	0.0148	0.3602	1.0870	0.025*
H3C	0.0019	0.2736	0.9879	0.025*
C4	0.1472 (2)	0.2595 (2)	0.8461 (5)	0.0239 (8)
O4	0.09528 (17)	0.19372 (16)	0.8261 (4)	0.0308 (7)
H4O	0.121 (3)	0.162 (3)	0.769 (6)	0.037*
C5	0.2308 (2)	0.2574 (2)	0.8003 (5)	0.0256 (8)
C15	0.27140 (6)	0.16405 (6)	0.72670 (15)	0.0370 (3)
C6	0.2815 (2)	0.3256 (2)	0.8168 (5)	0.0253 (8)
C7	0.3714 (2)	0.3268 (2)	0.7691 (6)	0.0294 (9)
H7A	0.3812	0.2882	0.6699	0.035*
H7B	0.4045	0.3074	0.8709	0.035*
C8	0.3994 (2)	0.4131 (3)	0.7170 (6)	0.0347 (9)
H8A	0.4607	0.4149	0.7194	0.042*
H8B	0.3815	0.4239	0.5943	0.042*
C9	0.3668 (2)	0.4805 (2)	0.8318 (6)	0.0279 (9)
09	0.40023 (17)	0.54650 (16)	0.8556 (4)	0.0348 (7)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters ((A^2))
----------------------------------	---------	---

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0223 (13)	0.0216 (13)	0.0419 (16)	0.0005 (11)	0.0013 (11)	-0.0028 (11)
C1	0.0241 (19)	0.0182 (17)	0.032 (2)	-0.0010 (15)	-0.0035 (15)	0.0018 (16)
C2	0.0260 (19)	0.0201 (18)	0.0278 (19)	0.0045 (16)	-0.0020 (16)	0.0018 (15)
C21	0.027 (2)	0.0183 (19)	0.043 (2)	-0.0007 (16)	-0.0001 (18)	-0.0065 (17)
C3	0.0210 (19)	0.0255 (18)	0.0263 (18)	0.0006 (15)	-0.0035 (15)	0.0032 (16)
C31	0.0134 (16)	0.0129 (16)	0.0235 (18)	-0.0005 (13)	-0.0005 (13)	0.0001 (14)
C4	0.0262 (19)	0.0184 (17)	0.0270 (18)	-0.0008 (14)	-0.0024 (15)	0.0024 (15)

O4	0.0281 (15)	0.0227 (14)	0.0418 (17)	-0.0042 (12)	-0.0004 (13)	-0.0031 (12)
C5	0.0287 (19)	0.0177 (17)	0.031 (2)	0.0034 (15)	-0.0003 (17)	0.0001 (15)
C15	0.0364 (6)	0.0255 (5)	0.0492 (6)	0.0042 (4)	-0.0028 (5)	-0.0048 (5)
C6	0.0259 (19)	0.0222 (19)	0.0279 (19)	0.0049 (15)	-0.0044 (15)	0.0016 (15)
C7	0.0213 (17)	0.0247 (18)	0.042 (2)	0.0044 (14)	-0.0024 (17)	-0.0012 (18)
C8	0.0212 (19)	0.042 (2)	0.041 (2)	-0.0005 (16)	-0.0001 (17)	-0.002 (2)
C9	0.0193 (18)	0.025 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.0000 (15)	-0.0036 (16)	0.0061 (17)
O9	0.0273 (14)	0.0227 (14)	0.0543 (19)	-0.0014 (12)	-0.0046 (14)	0.0067 (13)
Geometric par	ameters (Å, °)					
O1—C9		1.353 (4)	C4—	-04	1.36	1 (4)
O1—C1		1.404 (4)	C4—	-C5	1.39	4 (5)
C1—C6		1.386 (5)	04—	-H4O	0.78	(4)
C1—C2		1.399 (5)	С5—	-C6	1.37	7 (5)
C2—C3		1.402 (5)	С5—	-C15	1.73	5 (3)
C2—C21		1.503 (5)	С6—	-C7	1.49	5 (5)
C21—H2A		0.9800	С7—	-C8	1.51	7 (5)
C21—H2B		0.9800	С7—	-H7A	0.99	00
C21—H2C		0.9800	С7—	H7B	0.99	00
C3—C4		1.401 (5)	C8—	-C9	1.48	9 (6)
C3—C31		1.549 (5)	C8—	-H8A	0.99	00
C31—H3A		0.9800	C8—	-H8B	0.99	00
C31—H3B		0.9800	С9—	-09	1.20	7 (4)
С31—Н3С		0.9800				
C9—O1—C1		121.6 (3)	С5—	-C4—C3	120.	1 (3)
C6—C1—C2		123.6 (3)	C4—	-O4—H4O	104	(3)
C6—C1—O1		121.6 (3)	С6—	-C5—C4	122.	2 (3)
C2—C1—O1		114.8 (3)	С6—	-C5C15	120.	0 (3)
C1—C2—C3		118.2 (3)	C4—	-C5—Cl5	117.	8 (3)
C1—C2—C21		120.4 (3)	С5—	-C6—C1	116.	8 (3)
C3—C2—C21		121.3 (3)	С5—	-C6—C7	124.	3 (3)
C2—C21—H2A	A	109.5	C1—	-C6—C7	118.	9 (3)
С2—С21—Н2Н	3	109.5	С6—	-C7C8	111.	3 (3)
H2A—C21—H	2B	109.5	С6—	-C7—H7A	109.	4
С2—С21—Н2С	2	109.5	C8—	-C7—H7A	109.	4
H2A—C21—H	2C	109.5	С6—	-C7—H7B	109.	4
H2B—C21—H	2C	109.5	C8—	-C7—H7B	109.	4
C4—C3—C2		119.1 (3)	H7A-	—С7—Н7В	108.	0
C4—C3—C31		118.9 (3)	С9—	-C8—C7	114.	4 (3)
C2—C3—C31		122.1 (3)	С9—	-C8—H8A	108.	7
С3—С31—Н3А	A	109.5	С7—	-C8—H8A	108.	7
С3—С31—Н3Н	3	109.5	С9—	-C8—H8B	108.	7
НЗА—С31—Н	3B	109.5	С7—	-C8—H8B	108.	7
С3—С31—Н3С	2	109.5	H8A-		107.	6
НЗА—С31—Н	3C	109.5	09—	-C9—O1	116.	5 (4)
НЗВ—С31—Н	3C	109.5	09—	-C9—C8	125.	1 (4)
O4—C4—C5		123.3 (3)	01—	-C9—C8	118.	3 (3)
O4—C4—C3		116.6 (3)				

C9—O1—C1—C6	15.2 (5)	C3—C4—C5—Cl5	176.0 (3)
C9—O1—C1—C2	-165.2 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.8 (6)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.7 (6)	Cl5—C5—C6—C1	-177.1 (3)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-178.9 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-179.8 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C21	-178.5 (4)	Cl5—C5—C6—C7	2.2 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C21	1.9 (5)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.2 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.8 (5)	O1—C1—C6—C5	179.3 (3)
C21—C2—C3—C4	177.4 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C7	-179.6 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C31	177.9 (3)	O1—C1—C6—C7	0.0 (6)
C21—C2—C3—C31	-2.9 (6)	C5—C6—C7—C8	152.5 (4)
C2—C3—C4—O4	-177.5 (3)	C1—C6—C7—C8	-28.2 (5)
C31—C3—C4—O4	2.8 (5)	C6—C7—C8—C9	42.6 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5	2.5 (6)	C1—O1—C9—O9	177.5 (4)
C31—C3—C4—C5	-177.2 (3)	C1—O1—C9—C8	1.3 (5)
O4—C4—C5—C6	177.9 (4)	C7—C8—C9—O9	153.5 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-2.0 (6)	C7—C8—C9—O1	-30.7 (5)
O4—C4—C5—Cl5	-4.0 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D -\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!- \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
C8—H8A···O9 ⁱ	0.99	2.55	3.463 (5)	154.
C7—H7B···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.64	3.588 (5)	160.
C7—H7B···O9 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.68	3.357 (5)	126.
O4—H4O···O9 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.78 (4)	2.12 (5)	2.748 (4)	137 (4)
C8—H8B····O4 ^{iv}	0.99	2.39	3.328 (5)	158.

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, z; (ii) -y+1, x, -z+2; (iii) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iv) -y+1/2, -x+1/2, z-1/2.



Fig. 1









